Appellate Case: 16-6137 Document: 01019628786 Date Filed: 05/27/2016 Page: 1

> FILED **United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

May 27, 2016

Elisabeth A. Shumaker **Clerk of Court**

In re: PAUL EVERETT RICH, III,

Movant.

No. 16-6137

(D.C. No. 5:11-CR-00098-F-1) (W.D. Okla.)

ORDER

Before BRISCOE, GORSUCH, and BACHARACH, Circuit Judges.

Movant Paul Everett Rich, III, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks an order authorizing him to file a second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion in the district court so he may assert a claim for relief based on Johnson v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015). See 28 U.S.C. §§ 2255(h), 2244(b)(3). In 2011, Movant was convicted of a firearms offense in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g). He alleges that his sentence for that offense was enhanced under the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA) based on his having three qualifying prior convictions, see 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(1), at least one of which was a violent felony. Movant now seeks to file a § 2255 motion challenging his enhanced sentence based on the Supreme Court's opinion in Johnson, which invalidated the residual clause in the ACCA's definition of "violent felony" as unconstitutionally vague.

To obtain authorization, Movant must make a prima facie showing that his claim meets the gatekeeping requirements of § 2255(h). See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(C). Relevant here is the requirement that the claim rely on "a new rule of constitutional law, Appellate Case: 16-6137 Document: 01019628786 Date Filed: 05/27/2016 Page: 2

made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable," 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h)(2). Based on the information before us, we conclude that the Movant has made the required showing. *Johnson* announced a new rule of constitutional law, and the Supreme Court made *Johnson* retroactive to cases on collateral review in *Welch v. United States*, 136 S. Ct. 1257, 1265 (2016).

Accordingly, we grant Paul Everett Rich, III, authorization to file a second or successive § 2255 motion in district court to raise a claim based on *Johnson v. United States*. In the interest of justice, we direct the Clerk to transfer the now-authorized successive § 2255 motion to the district court for the Western District of Oklahoma pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631. The filing date of the authorized successive § 2255 motion is May 24, 2016, which is the date the motion for authorization was filed in this court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1631.

Entered for the Court

ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER, Clerk

Elisabeta a. Shumaki